

The PHENIX Muon Arms: Current Design and Status

The PHENIX Muon Arms Collaboration

January 12, 1996

Abstract

The PHENIX Muon Arms consist of two end-caps on the PHENIX detector which are optimized to carry out both a Relativistic Heavy Ion Physics Program and a Spin Physics Program using the RHIC accelerator in collisions ranging from pp to $Au - Au$. The design of the Muon Arms tracking and muon identification sub-systems is described. The current status of the designs, simulations, R&D developments, and construction are presented. Finally, the present budget and schedules are summarized.

This document is meant to be used as an update to the information previously presented in the PHENIX Conceptual Design Report (CDR), the CDR Update, and the spin physics proposal described in the PHENIX Spin Physics Upgrade Proposal.

Contents

1	Physics Goals And Detector Overview	1-1
1.1	Relativistic Heavy Ion Physics	1-1
1.2	Spin Structure of the Nucleon	1-2
1.2.1	PHENIX Spin Structure Program	1-3
1.2.2	Spin Structure Physics With a Two-arm Muon Spectrometer	1-3
1.3	Other Physics Opportunities–Symmetry Tests	1-4
1.4	Detector Overview	1-5
2	Physics Driven Design Considerations	2-1
2.1	Muon Tracking System Design Requirements	2-1
2.2	Muon Identifier Design Requirements	2-2
3	The Muon Arms Detector Design	3-1
3.1	Muon Magnets	3-1
3.1.1	North Muon Magnet	3-1
3.1.2	South Muon Magnet	3-5
3.1.3	Tracking Chamber Placement In the Magnets	3-6
3.1.4	Muon Identifier Steel	3-13
3.1.5	Current Status of the Muon Arms Magnets and Identifier Steel	3-13
3.2	Absorbers	3-16
3.3	Tracking Chambers	3-18
3.3.1	Introduction to Cathode Strip chambers	3-18
	CSC signals	3-18
	Cathode Strip Chamber Design Issues	3-18
	CSC Candidate Gases	3-18
	Resolution Degradation Due to Inclined Tracks and Lorentz Angle	3-19
3.3.2	Cathode Strip Chambers Design Constraints	3-20
3.3.3	Stations 1 and 3 Designs	3-21
3.3.4	Station 1	3-21
	Mechanical Assembly	3-21
	Radiation Lengths	3-24
3.3.5	Station 3	3-24
3.3.6	Station 2 Design	3-24
	Station 2 design criteria	3-25

	Finite Element Calculations	3-26
	Foil Etching	3-27
	Station 2 Mounting structure	3-29
3.3.7	Global Tracking System Design Issues	3-33
	Assembly Plan for the Detectors	3-33
	Alignment Plan for the Detectors	3-34
3.3.8	CSC R&D Prototypes and Results	3-35
	Chamber prototypes	3-35
	Resolutions	3-35
	Efficiency Plateau	3-36
	Mechanical R&D	3-37
3.4	Muon Identifier Detectors	3-38
3.4.1	Detector Design Optimization	3-38
	Steel Thickness	3-38
	Number of Layers	3-38
	Readout Pitch Requirements	3-39
3.4.2	Introduction to Iarocci Tubes	3-39
	What They Are	3-39
	How They Perform	3-39
	Why They Were Chosen	3-39
3.4.3	Design of Muon Identifier Detectors	3-40
	Definition of Terms	3-40
	A Channel:	3-40
	A Comb Profile:	3-40
	A Jacket:	3-40
	A Tube:	3-40
	A Four-Pack:	3-40
	A Panel:	3-40
	Layout of Panels In the Detector	3-41
3.4.4	Muon Identifier Design System Issues	3-42
	Assembly Plan for Muon Identifier	3-42
	Timing Requirements for Triggering	3-44
	Alignment Requirements	3-45
	To Tracking System	3-45
	Internal	3-45
	Method of Alignment	3-45
	Calibrations for Muon Identifier	3-46
3.4.5	R&D Results	3-46
	Pulse Height Studies	3-46
	Timing Studies	3-46
	Beam Test Results	3-47
	Outstanding R&D	3-47
3.5	Electronics	3-48

3.5.1	CSC Electronics	3-48
	Characteristic Counting Rates and Times	3-48
	CSC Detector Characteristics	3-49
	The Detector Signals and Noise	3-50
	Electronic Design Issues	3-50
	Dynamic Range	3-50
	The FEE Scheme	3-51
	Low Resolution Anode and Cathode FEE	3-52
3.5.2	Muon Identifier FEE Specifications	3-52
3.6	CSC Gas System	3-55
	Specifications and Requirements	3-55
4	Muon Arms Simulations	4-1
4.1	The Simulation Programs	4-1
4.1.1	Event Generators	4-1
	Vector Meson Production	4-1
	Charm Production	4-1
	Drell-Yan Production	4-1
	Backgrounds	4-1
4.1.2	Detector Simulations	4-2
4.2	Muon Tracking Performance Simulations	4-2
4.2.1	Detector Geometry	4-2
4.2.2	Detector Response	4-3
4.2.3	Pattern Recognition and Track Reconstruction	4-4
4.2.4	Momentum and Mass Resolutions	4-4
	Momentum Resolutions	4-4
	Mass Resolutions	4-5
	Mass Resolution versus Chamber Resolution	4-5
	Nosecone and Absorber Studies	4-10
	Chamber Occupancies	4-13
4.2.5	Muon Tracking Performance	4-16
4.3	Muon Identifier Performance Simulations	4-19
4.3.1	Triggering Performance	4-19
	Triggering Efficiency for Vector Mesons and Drell-Yan	4-19
	Impact of Beam-Gas	4-19
4.3.2	μ/π Rejection Ratios versus Muon Momentum	4-20
4.4	System Performance Parameters	4-21
4.4.1	Alignment and Survey Requirements	4-21
	Internal Alignment Requirements	4-21
	Global Alignment Requirements	4-22
	Alignment to Magnetic Field	4-23
	Alignment to the Interaction Point	4-23
	Alignment Between the North and South Muon Arms	4-24
	Summary of Alignment Requirements	4-27

4.4.2	Alignment Monitors and Survey Requirements	4-27
4.4.3	Acceptance for Vector Mesons and Drell-Yan	4-29
4.4.4	Signal to Noise for Vector Mesons	4-31
4.5	Physics Performance Simulations	4-34
4.5.1	Rates for Vector Mesons, Drell-Yan and Other Processes	4-34
	Au-Au Collisions	4-34
	p-p Collisions	4-34
4.5.2	Dimuon Spectra for Au-Au Central Collisions	4-36
	Signal to Background Ratios for Vector Mesons	4-36
	Vector Meson Suppression Sensitivity	4-37
4.5.3	Muon-Electron Measurement Capabilities	4-37
4.5.4	Quark Helicity Asymmetry Measurements	4-37
4.5.5	Gluon Helicity Asymmetry Measurements	4-38
5	Integration of Muon Arms Into PHENIX	5-1
5.1	Physics Program	5-1
5.1.1	Interface to Other Subsystems	5-1
5.1.2	Magnets	5-1
5.1.3	Ancillary Systems	5-2
6	Manpower, Cost and Schedule	6-1